UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/828,838	04/20/2004	Stephanie M. Kladakis	022956-0261	5281
	7590 06/24/200 CLENNEN & FISH LL	EXAMINER		
	DE CENTER WEST BOULEVARD	WOODWARD, CHERIE MICHELLE		
BOSTON, MA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1647	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/24/2008	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket@nutter.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/828,838	KLADAKIS ET AL.		
-			
Examiner	Art Unit		

	CHERIE M. WOODWARD	1647	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>15 May 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following r application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of a eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE b).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	n. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the slate forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on tending amount of the corresponding amount of the correct and the corresponding amount of the correspon	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
	the date of Clare a below	91 ( b ( 4 b -	
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause
(c)   ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	* **	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		(	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allo non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		I be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-8,10-14,16-27 and 32-34.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	rercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a ).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	∍d.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (label{eq:statement}).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/CMW/ AU 1647	/Gary B. Nickol/ SPE, AU 1646		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's Response is filed after an Advisory Action was mailed on 3/19/2008. A second after-final action was field on 5/15/2008. The 5/15/2008 filing amends the claims in part to obviate the new matter issue that was present in the 3/19/2008 after-final filing. However, the claim amendments of the 5/15/2008 (second after-final) filing raise new issues regarding the modulus of elasticity that requires further consideration and/or search.

Although Applicant's amendments will not be entered because the claims require further search and consideration, the amendments to the claims are sufficent to overcome the rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph. Applicant's arguments in response to the rejection under 35 USC 103, regarding the stress and strain requirements cannot be considered without a further consideration and search. Additionally, the provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection over copending US Application 11/427,477 is still pending.